arXiv:1703.01839v1 [math.CO] 6 Mar 2017

The spectral radius of graphs with no $K_{2,t}$ minor

V. Nikiforov*

Abstract

Let $t \geq 3$ and G be a graph of order n, with no $K_{2,t}$ minor. If $n > 400t^6$, then the spectral radius $\mu(G)$ satisfies

$$\mu(G) \leq \frac{t-1}{2} + \sqrt{n + \frac{t^2-2t-3}{4}},$$

with equality if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$ and $G = K_1 \vee \lfloor n/t \rfloor K_t$.

For $t = 3$ the maximum $\mu(G)$ is found exactly for any $n > 40000$.

AMS classification: *15A42; 05C35.*
Keywords: *spectral radius; forbidden minor; spectral extremal problem.*

1 Introduction and main results

A graph H is called a *minor* of a graph G if H can be obtained by contracting edges of a subgraph of G. Write $H \not\prec G$ if H is not a minor of G. The *spectral radius* $\mu(G)$ of a graph G is the largest eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix. In this note we study the following question:

Question 1 *How large can $\mu(G)$ be if G a graph of order n and $K_{2,t} \not\prec G$?*

Particular cases of this question have been studied before: for example, Yu, Shu and Hong [7] showed that if G is a graph of order n and $K_{2,3} \not\prec G$, then

$$\mu(G) = 3/2 + \sqrt{n - 7/4}. \tag{1}$$

Unfortunately, bound (1) it is not attained for any G, although it is tight up to an additive term approaching $1/2$. Likewise, Benediktovich [1] studied 2-connected graphs with no $K_{2,4}$ minors and gave a few bounds similar to (1), but gave no summary result.

To outline the case $t = 2$, let n be odd and $F_2(n)$ be the *friendship graph,* that is, a set of $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ triangles sharing a single common vertex. If n is even, let $F_2(n)$ be obtained by hanging an extra edge to the common vertex of $F_2(n-1)$.

In [5] and [8], it was shown that if G is a graph of order n, with no $K_{2,2}$, then $\mu(G) < \mu(F_2(n))$, unless $G = F_2(n)$.

Incidentally, $K_{2,2} \not\prec F_2(n)$; thus, Question 1 is settled for $t = 2$. We shall show that the situation is similar for any $t \geq 3$ and n large.

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis, Memphis TN 38152, USA; *email: vnikifrv@memphis.edu*

First, we extend the family $\{F_2(n)\}$ for $t > 2$. Given graphs F and H, write $F \vee H$ for their *join* and $F + H$ for their *disjoint union*. Suppose that $t \geq 3$ and $n \geq t+1$; set $p = \lfloor (n-1)/t \rfloor$ and let $n - 1 = pt + s$.

Now, let $F_t(n) := K_1 \vee (pK_t + K_s)$; in particular, if $s = 0$, let $F_t(n) := K_1 \vee pK_t$. Clearly, the graph $F_t(n)$ is of order n and $K_{2,t} \not\leq F_t(n)$.

It is not hard to find that $\mu(F_t(n))$ is the largest root of the cubic equation

$$(x - s + 1)(x^2 - (t-1)x - n + 1) + s(t - s) = 0,$$

and satisfies the inequality

$$\mu(F_t(n)) \leq \frac{t-1}{2} + \sqrt{n + \frac{t^2 - 2t - 3}{4}},$$

with equality if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$, i.e., if $s = 0$.

Our first result answers Question 1 for $t = 3$ and n large:

Theorem 2 *If G is a graph of order $n > 40000$ and $K_{2,3} \not\leq G$, then $\mu(G) < \mu(F_3(n))$, unless $G = F_3(n)$.*

A similar theorem may hold also for $t > 3$, but our general result is somewhat weaker:

Theorem 3 *Let $t \geq 4$ and $n \geq 400t^6$. If G is a graph of order n and $K_{2,t} \not\leq G$, then*

$$\mu(G) \leq \frac{t-1}{2} + \sqrt{n + \frac{t^2 - 2t - 3}{4}}. \tag{2}$$

Equality holds if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$ and $G = F_t(n)$.

Before proving these theorems, let us note that if $t \geq 4$ and $n \geq 400t^6$, then

$$\mu(F_t(n)) > \frac{t-1}{2} + \sqrt{n + \frac{t^2 - 2t - 3}{4}} - \frac{t(t+1)}{8n},$$

so bound (2) is quite tight.

The proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 are based on a structural lemma inspired by [6]. Write $\mathcal{M}_t(n)$ for the set of graphs of order n, with no $K_{2,t}$ minors, and with maximum spectral radius.

Lemma 4 *Let $t \geq 3$, $n > 16(t-1)^4(5t-3)^2$, and $G \in \mathcal{M}_t(n)$. If $\mathbf{x}$ is an eigenvector to $\mu(G)$, then the maximum entry of $\mathbf{x}$ corresponds to a vertex of degree $n-1$.*

Proof Let t, n and G be as required. Hereafter, let $V := \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be the vertex set of G; let $\Gamma_G(v)$ be the set of the neighbors of $v \in V$, and set $d_G(v) := |\Gamma_G(v)|$; the subscript G is omitted if G is understood. Also, $G - v$ stands for the graph obtained by omitting the vertex v.

Clearly G is connected, as otherwise G there is a graph H with no $K_{2,t}$ minor such that $\mu(H) > \mu(G)$, contradicting $G \in \mathcal{M}_t(n)$.

Set for short, $\mu := \mu(G)$, and let $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a unit eigenvector to μ such that $x_1 \geq \cdots \geq x_n$. We have to show that $d(v_1) = n - 1$.

Let A be the adjacency matrix of G and set $B = [b_{i,j}] := A^2$. Note that $b_{i,j}$ is equal to the number of 2-walks starting at v_i and ending at v_j; hence, if $i \neq j$, then $b_{i,j} \leq t - 1$, as $K_{2,t} \not\subseteq G$. Since $B\mathbf{x} = \mu^2\mathbf{x}$, for any vertex u, we see that

$$\mu^2 x_u = d(u)\, x_u + \sum_{i \in V \setminus \{u\}} b_{u,i} x_i \leq d(u)\, x_u + (t-1) \sum_{i \in V \setminus \{u\}} x_i \leq d(u)\, x_u + (t-1)\left(\sqrt{n} - x_u\right).$$

The last inequality follows from $(x_1+\cdots+x_n)^2 \le n\left(x_1^2+\cdots+x_n^2\right)=n$. We find that

$$d(u) \ge \mu^2 + t - 1 - \frac{(t-1)\sqrt{n}}{x_u}. \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, if $u \ne v_1$, then $d(u)+d(v_1) \le n+t-1$, as $K_{2,t} \nsubseteq G$. Using (3), we get

$$n+t-1 \ge 2\mu^2 + 2(t-1) - \frac{(t-1)\sqrt{n}}{x_u} - \frac{(t-1)\sqrt{n}}{x_1} \ge 2\mu^2 + 2(t-1) - \frac{2(t-1)\sqrt{n}}{x_u}.$$

In view of $\mu^2 > n-1$, we obtain

$$x_u \le \frac{2(t-1)\sqrt{n}}{2\mu^2 - n + t - 1} < \frac{2(t-1)\sqrt{n}}{n} = \frac{2(t-1)}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{4}$$

Assume for a contradiction that $d(v_1) \le n-2$; let H be the graph induced in G by the set $V \setminus (\Gamma(v_1) \cup \{v_1\})$ and suppose that v is a vertex with minimum degree in H. Since $K_{2,t} \not\preccurlyeq G$, Theorem 1.1 of [2][1] implies that $d_H(v) \le t$, and since v and v_1 have at most $t-1$ common neighbors, we see that $d_G(v) \le 2t-1$.

Next, remove all edges incident to v and join v to v_1. Write G' for the resulting graph, which is of order n and $K_{2,t} \not\preccurlyeq G'$. As $G \in \mathcal{M}_t(n)$, we see that

$$0 \le \mu - \mu(G') \le 2x_u \sum_{i\in\Gamma(v)} x_i - 2x_1x_u.$$

Thus, bound (4) implies an upper bound on x_1

$$x_1 \le \sum_{i\in\Gamma(v)} x_i \le d_G(v)\frac{2(t-1)}{\sqrt{n}} \le \frac{2(t-1)(2t-1)}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{5}$$

Finally, we apply (4) and (5) to show that μ is bounded in n

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &= 2\sum_{\{i,j\}\in E(G)} x_ix_j \le 2x_1 \sum_{i\in\Gamma(v_1)} x_i + 2\sum_{\{i,j\}\in E(G-v_1)} x_ix_j \\
&\le \frac{8(t-1)^2(2t-1)\,d(v_1)}{n} + \frac{8(t-1)^2\left(|E(G)| - d(v_1)\right)}{n} \\
&= \frac{16(t-1)^3\,d(v_1)}{n} + \frac{8|E(G)|(t-1)^2}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $d(v_1) < n$, and Theorem 1.1 of [2] gives $2|E(G)| \le (t+1)(n-1)$, we find that

$$n-1 < \mu^2 < 16(t-1)^4(5t-3)^2,$$

contradicting the premises. Hence, $d(v_1) = n-1$. □

Proof of Theorem 3 Let $G \in \mathcal{M}_t(n)$, $\mu := \mu(G)$, and $\mathbf{x} := (x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ be a unit eigenvector to μ such that $x_1 \ge \cdots \ge x_n$. Lemma 4 implies that $d(v_1) = n-1$. Clearly $\mu x_1 \le (n-1)x_2$ and since $d(v_2) \le t$, we see that $\mu x_2 \le x_1 + (t-1)x_2$. Therefore,

$$\mu(\mu - t + 1) \le n-1,$$

[1] We use Theorem 1.1 of [2] solely to lower the bound on n; otherwise just as good is an older result of Mader [4] implying that $|E(G| \ge (4r+8)n$ forces a $K_{2,r}$ minor.

implying (2). If equality holds in (2), then $x_2 = x_3 = \cdots = x_n$ and $\mu x_2 = x_1 + d(u)\, x_2$ for $u = 2, \ldots, n$. Hence, $G - v_1$ is $(t-1)$-regular. To complete the proof, we show that $G - v_1$ is a union of disjoint K_ts.

Assume for a contradiction that $G - v_1$ has a component H that is non-isomorphic to K_t, and let h be the order of H. Clearly $h \geq t+2$, for if $h = t+1$, any two nonadjacent vertices in H have $t-1$ common neighbors, which together with v_1 form a $K_{2,t}$.

Further, since $K_{2,t} \not\preccurlyeq G$, we see that $K_{1,t} \not\preccurlyeq H$. As shown in [3][2], these conditions on H imply that $|E(H)| \leq h + t(t-3)/2$, contradicting the identity $|E(H)| = (t-1)h/2$. Hence, $G - v_1$ is a union of disjoint K_ts, completing the proof of Theorem 3. □

Proof of Theorem 2 Let $G \in \mathcal{M}_t(n)$, $\mu := \mu(G)$, and $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a unit eigenvector to μ such that $x_1 \geq \cdots \geq x_n$. Lemma 4 implies that $d(v_1) = n-1$. Since $G - v_1$ has no vertex of degree more than 2, its components are paths, triangles, or isolated vertices, as otherwise G contains a $K_{2,3}$ minor.

Since $G - v_1$ is edge maximal, it may have at most one component that is not a triangle, say the component H. If H is an isolated vertex or an edge, we are done, so suppose that H is a path of order h, and let $v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{k+h}$ be the vertices along the path. Clearly $h \geq 4$.

Suppose first that h is odd, say $h = 2s+1$ and $s \geq 2$. By symmetry, $x_{k+i} = x_{k+h-i+1}$ for any $i \in [s]$. Remove the edges $\{v_{k+s-1}, v_{k+s}\}$, $\{v_{k+s+2}, v_{k+s+3}\}$; add the edges $\{v_{k+s}, v_{k+s+2}\}$, $\{v_{k+s-1}, v_{k+s+3}\}$; and write G' for the resulting graph. Clearly $K_{2,3} \not\preccurlyeq G'$ has no $K_{2,3}$ minor, as H is replaced by a shorter path and a disjoint triangle. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\{i,j\} \in E(G')} x_i x_j &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E(G)} x_i x_j - x_{k+s-1}x_{k+s} - x_{k+s+2}x_{k+s+3} + x_{k+s}x_{k+s+2} + x_{k+s-1}x_{k+s+3} \\ &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E(G)} x_i x_j + (x_{k+s-1} - x_{k+s})^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since $G \in \mathcal{M}_t(n)$, we get $\mu(G') = \mu$; hence $\mathbf{x}$ is an eigenvector to $\mu(G')$. But v_{k+s}, v_{k+s+1}, and v_{k+s+2} are symmetric in G', implying that $x_{k+s} = x_{k+s+1} = x_{k+s+2}$. Now, using the eigenequations of G, we find that $x_{k+1} = \cdots = x_{k+h}$, which is a contradiction, in view of

$$\mu x_{k+1} = x_{k+2} + x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu x_{k+2} = x_{k+3} + x_{k+1} + x_1.$$

Next, suppose that h is even, say $h = 2s$, and let $s \geq 3$. By symmetry, $x_{k+i} = x_{k+h-i+1}$ for any $i \in [s]$. Remove the edges $\{v_{k+s-1}, v_{k+s}\}$, $\{v_{k+s+2}, v_{k+s+3}\}$; add the edges $\{v_{k+s}, v_{k+s+2}\}$, $\{v_{k+s-1}, v_{k+s+3}\}$; and write G' for the resulting graph. Clearly $K_{2,3} \not\preccurlyeq G'$, as H is replaced by a shorter path and a disjoint triangle. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\{i,j\} \in E(G')} x_i x_j &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E(G)} x_i x_j - x_{k+s-1}x_{k+s} - x_{k+s+2}x_{k+s+3} + x_{k+s}x_{k+s+2} + x_{k+s-1}x_{k+s+3} \\ &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E(G)} x_i x_j.\end{aligned}$$

Since $G \in \mathcal{M}_t(n)$, we get $\mu(G') = \mu$; hence $\mathbf{x}$ is an eigenvector to $\mu(G')$. But v_{k+s}, v_{k+s+1}, and v_{k+s+2} are symmetric in G', implying that $x_{k+s} = x_{k+s+1} = x_{k+s+2}$. This fact leads to a contradiction precisely as above.

[2]See also Section 1.2 of [2] where the result is stated more fittingly for our use.

It remains the case $h = 4$. By symmetry, $x_{k+1} = x_{k+4}$ and $x_{k+2} = x_{k+3}$. Remove the edge $\{v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}\}$, add the edge $\{v_{k+2}, v_{k+4}\}$, and write G' for the resulting graph. Clearly $K_{2,t} \not\prec G'$ and

$$\sum_{\{i,j\}\in E(G')} x_i x_j = \sum_{\{i,j\}\in E(G)} x_i x_j - x_{k+1}x_{k+2} + x_{k+2}x_{k+4} = \sum_{\{i,j\}\in E(G)} x_i x_j.$$

Since $G \in \mathcal{M}_t(n)$, we get $\mu(G') = \mu$; hence $\mathbf{x}$ is an eigenvector to $\mu(G')$, implying the contradicting eigenequations

$$\mu\left(G'\right) x_{k+1} = x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu\left(G'\right) x_{k+4} = x_{k+2} + x_{k+3} + x_1.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is completed. □

References

[1] V.I. Benediktovich, Spectral radius of $K_{2,4}$-minor free graph (in Russian), *Dokl. Nats. Akad. Nauk Belarusi* **59** (2015), 5–12.

[2] M. Chudnovsky, B. Reed, and P. Seymour, The edge-density for $K_{2,t}$ minors, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **101** (2011) 18–46.

[3] G. Ding, T. Johnson, and P. Seymour, Spanning trees with many leaves, *J. Graph Theory* **37** (2001) 189–197.

[4] W. Mader, Existenz n-fach zusammenhängender Teilgraphen in Graphen genügend großer Kantendichte, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **37** (1972) 86–97.

[5] V. Nikiforov, Bounds on graph eigenvalues II, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **427** (2007) 183–189.

[6] M. Tait and J. Tobin, Three conjectures in extremal spectral graph theory, *preprint available at arXiv:1606.01916.*

[7] G. Yu, J. Shu, and Y. Hong, Bounds of spectral radius of $K_{2,3}$-minor free graphs, *Electronic J. Linear Algebra*, 23 (2012) 171–179.

[8] M. Zhai and B. Wang, Proof of a conjecture on the spectral radius of C_4-free graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437** (2012) 1641–1647.